Classical pulsators: beyond radial modes - observations and theory

Radek Smolec

Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Warsaw



Beyond radial modes

- ► additional low-amplitude periodicities
- ▶ all flavours of periodic modulation
- ▶ back to radial modes



Pulsating stars: space revolution?



$\sim 10^5$ classical pulsators

- ► **Space telescopes** revolutionised asteroseismology, except for...
- \blacktriangleright ... classical pulsators, where revolution is largely thanks to **ground-based projects**
- ► **long-term, precise** photometry for **tens of thousands** of classical pulsators



Beyond radial modes

- ► additional low-amplitude periodicities
- ▶ all flavours of periodic modulation
- ▶ back to radial modes



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



Moskalik & Kołaczkowski (2009), MNRAS

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



A few classes of additional periodicities

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



Modulation with strongly asymmetric sidepeaks?

 only in one out of 37 stars from the Moskalik & Kołaczkowski sample we could detect trace of modulation with newer data (Kotysz & Smolec, 2018)

Non-radial modes?

which? what is the mode selection mechanism?



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



A few classes of additional periodicities

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes





- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes





- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio

0.76

0.74

0.72

0.70

 $\stackrel{\rm 1}{\overset{\rm J}{_{A^{\rm N}}}}_{J} \stackrel{\rm 0.68}{_{0.66}}$

0.64

0.62

0.60

0.58

▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



-0.60

-0.50

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



- ► $\ell = 8, 9$ (RRL) and $\ell = 7, 8, 9$ (Cep) match the frequencies at $1/2\nu_x$
- ν_x is a harmonic then: better visible due to nonlinear and geometric effects



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes

Strongly Trapped Unstable modes?

- ► $\ell = 8,9$ (RRL) and $\ell = 7, 8, 9$ (Cep) match the frequencies at $1/2\nu_x$
- ν_x is a harmonic then: better visible due to nonlinear and geometric effects



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes

Strongly Trapped Unstable modes?

- ► $\ell = 8, 9$ (RRL) and $\ell = 7, 8, 9$ (Cep) match the frequencies at $1/2\nu_x$
- ν_x is a harmonic then: better visible due to nonlinear and geometric effects



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes

Strongly Trapped Unstable modes?

- ► $\ell = 8, 9$ (RRL) and $\ell = 7, 8, 9$ (Cep) match the frequencies at $1/2\nu_x$
- ν_x is a harmonic then: better visible due to nonlinear and geometric effects
- * obs. mode identification needed (H.Netzel talk)
- \star signals at $3/2\nu_x$ difficult to explain
- \star LMC picture is not that clear



Dziembowski (2016), CoKon

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios

10

- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes





- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



Stripped red giants?

- ▶ F+10 period ratio OK, but
- ▶ in conflict with 0.61-mode solution



Dziembowski (2016), CoKon

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes



Stripped red giants?

- ► F+10 period ratio OK, but
- ▶ in conflict with 0.61-mode solution

Non-radial mode?

▶ what is the mode selection?

Any explanation must account for coherent nature of additional periodicity



- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios

12

- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes

0.76 0.74 ÷ 0.72 0.70 0.68 $\stackrel{\rm 1}{\overset{\rm J}{_{A^{\rm N}}}}_{J} \stackrel{\rm 0.68}{_{0.66}}$ 0.64 0.62 0.60 0.58 -0.30 -0.10 0.00 -0.60 -0.50 -0.40 -0.20 $\log P_{\rm L}$

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: ~0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes





Prudil et al. (2017), MNRAS

- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes





- ► 10 Cepheids: ~close frequencies
- ▶ 10 Cepheids: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: 0.6–0.65 period ratios
- ▶ RRc: \sim 0.68 period ratio
- ▶ RR Lyrae: other puzzling classes

F+10 RR Lyrae or striped giant?

Period ratios do not fit!

Is dominant periodicity F-mode? Are these RR Lyrae?

0.5M [Fe/H]=-2.5 0.75 0.74 [Fe/H]=-1.5 [Fe/H]=-1:0 0.73 $P_{\rm 10}/P_{\rm F}$ Fe/H = 0.72 |Fe/H|= 0.71 0.7 0.69 40L_ $-50L_{\odot}$ ······ $70L_{\odot}$ Δ 0.68 0.75 0.6M · • • • • • • 0.74 0.73 $P_{\rm 10}/P_{\rm F}$ 0.72 0.71 0.7 0.69 Δ ~ 0.68 0.75 0.7M 0.74 0.73 $P_{\rm 10}/P_{\rm F}$ 0.72 0.71 0.7 0.69 Δ 0.68 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 0.6 0.25 0.3 $P_{\rm F}$ (days)

Prudil et al. (2017), MNRAS

Beyond radial modes

- ▶ additional low-amplitude periodicities
- ▶ all flavours of periodic modulation
- ▶ back to radial modes



- ▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ► type-II Cepheids



animation: OGLE-IV data / R. Smolec



▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect

- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids

Possible explanations: Zoltan Kollath talk, 9:2 resonance with 90 What about RRc?

My comment on 1D models:

The models are indeed simple and clearly lack some physics. But I believe the correct way to proceed is to put the best available physics we have at hand into it. Using unphysical assumption, that violates essential physics for convection and pulsation, because the models better match the observations, is risky.



animation: OGLE-IV data / R. Smolec



16

Periodic modulations

- ► RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ► classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids



- ★ long-period modulation in 10+20 Cepheids
- \star both 1O and 2O are modulated
- \star anticorrelated amplitudes

- ► RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ► classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids



- \star both 1O and 2O are modulated
- \star anticorrelated amplitudes



- ► RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ► classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids

Moskalik & Kołaczkowski (2009) concluded that mode interaction must take place. Likely one of the 10/20 modes in resonance with other radial/non-radial mode, the other affected by crosssaturation.

No detailed scenario proposed, no further investigation.





- ▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids

* up to 10 mmag effect * modulation period $\sim 10 \times P_0$

- \star the effect may be common
- \star mean brightness modulation



- ▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ► classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids

* up to 10 mmag effect * modulation period ~ $10 \times P_0$

- \star the effect may be common
- \star mean brightness modulation



- ▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids



- \star up to 10 mmag effect
- \star modulation period $\sim 10 \times P_0$
- \star the effect may be common
- \star mean brightness modulation

- ▶ RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ▶ type-II Cepheids

In Smolec (2016), I speculate that 2:1 resonance that shapes the Hertzsprung bump progression may play a role. The 2:1 resonance in the context of Blazhko effect was discussed wit AEs by Moskalik (1986)

No detailed scenario proposed, no further investigation.



- ► RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ► type-II Cepheids



- ► RR Lyrae stars: Blazhko effect
- ▶ classical Cepheids: 10+20
- ► classical Cepheids: F-mode
- ► type-II Cepheids

Modulated nonlinear convective models of type-II Cepheids were reported in Smolec & Moskalik (2012) and Smolec (2016). In some of the models modulation is on top of PD and **may be due to half integer resonances**.

In some models modulation was detected only, no PD. Origin may be resonant.



Beyond radial modes

- ▶ additional low-amplitude periodicities
- ▶ all flavours of periodic modulation
- ▶ back to radial modes



▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: **F**+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect



22

▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect





Anomalous RRd stars

- \star with modulation
- with anomalous period and amplitude ratios

Soszyński et al. (2014); Smolec et al. (2015); Jurcsik et al. (2014); Soszyński et al. (2016)

▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is F+1O indeed plausible explanation?

► Period ratios do fit!

What is the cause of anomalies?

► $2\nu_{10} = \nu_{\rm F} + \nu_{20}$ resonance?

Needs verification; but how? AEs?



RRL19, Cloudcroft, New Mexico, Oct 2019

▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: **F**+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect



24

► RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect





 \star extreme RRd stars, with dominant F

Smolec et al. (2016)



▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd

- ▶ BL Her: **F**+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is F+1O indeed plausible explanation?

► Period ratios do fit!

Why RRd at such long periods?



Smolec et al. (2016)

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect





- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect





- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect





- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect



- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ► W Vir: PD effect



Soszyński et al. (2019), ApJ

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is 10 pulsation plausible for BL Her stars?

- ► Instability strip: OK!
- ► Mode selection is a challenge!

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is 10 pulsation plausible for BL Her stars?

- ► Instability strip: OK!
- ► Mode selection is a challenge!
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Constraint on phys. params.

Soszyński et al. (2019), ApJ

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is 10 pulsation plausible for BL Her stars?

- ► Instability strip: OK!
- ► Mode selection is a challenge!
- $\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Constraint on phys. params.$

Soszyński et al. (2019), ApJ

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ▶ BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Is 10 pulsation plausible for BL Her stars?

- ► Instability strip: OK!
- ► Mode selection is a challenge!
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Constraint on phys. params.
- ► Models are overluminous!

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

phase

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Smolec et al. (2012), MNRAS

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ▶ W Vir: PD effect

Moskalik & Buchler (1990) traced the origin of PD phenomenon to half-integer resonances:

 $(2n+1)\omega_0 = 2\omega_k.$

Buchler & Moskalik (1992) predicted, based on nonlinear model calculations, that PD should occur in BL Her stars due to 3:2 resonance between F and 10.

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ► W Vir: PD effect

- ▶ RR Lyrae: anRRd, eRRd
- ▶ BL Her: F+10
- ▶ BL Her: 10
- ► BL Her: PD effect
- ► W Vir: PD effect

P	$N_{\rm PD}$	Ν	$N_{\rm PD}/N$
15–16 d	4	33	0.12 ± 0.06
16–17 d	5	23	0.22 ± 0.09
17–18 d	7	14	0.50 ± 0.13
18–19 d	4	9	0.44 ± 0.17
19–20 d	5	7	0.71 ± 0.17

Moskalik & Buchler (1990) traced the origin of PD phenomenon in RV Tautype models to 5:2 resonance between F and 2O

Transition between W Vir and RV Tau class is smooth. 33

Some uncovered topics

- ► non-periodic changes and modulations
- ► period jitter
- ▶ mode-switching
- ▶ period-4, chaotic dynamics

For the majority of dynamical phenomena discovered recently we lack theoretical explanation.

